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This report presents results of pallelization of a line-by-line TDMA solver for a linear system $A x=b$, where $A$ is a 5-diagonal matrix or a 9-diagonal matrix. Parallelization was implemented using OpenMP.

## TDMA-2D 5-diagonals

Solver tdma2d5 was developed to solve a bidimentional problem with $n_{x}$ volumes in the x-direction and $n_{y}$ volumes in the y-direction totalizing $N=n_{x} n_{y}$ unknowns. This solver was tested for a fabricated linear system whose solution was known. Once the solver was verified, it was measured the time $t_{1}$ required by a single processor to solve a linear system of $n_{x}=n_{y}=2^{n}$ unknowns $\left(N=2^{2 n}\right)$, where $n=2,3, \ldots, 11$. Then the procedure was repeated using two processors instead of one, producing a different time $t_{2}$. Times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ were measured three times (fig. 1 ) using an intrinsic timer of OpenMP (OMP_GET_WTIME()). One should expect that with two processors time $t_{2}$ would be a half of $t_{1}$, however fig. 1 shows that $t_{2}$ is about $70 \%$ of $t_{1}$ for $N=n_{x} n_{y}$ higher than $10^{3}$. For smaller values of $N$, multiprocessing may be worse than single processing. This occurs because there is a time consumption spent to organize the threads that will share the work. For small values of $N$ this organizing time is comparable to the working time. Another important observation is that time measurement may have a big fluctuation. This may be caused by the system use of one of the processors during the calculation. So this numerical experiment should be repeated in a computer with more than two cores.


Figure 1: Time ratio versus linear system size for tdma2d5

## TDMA-2D 9-diagonals

The same comments made to solver tdma2d5 are valid to tdma2d9, but now, $A$ is a 9 -diagonal matrix. The measurements are presented in fig. 2.


Figure 2: Time ratio versus linear system size for tdma2d9

